PEOPLE

DIRECTOR OF IDS TO TAKE UP UNICEF APPOINTMENT

Professor Richard Jolly, Director of the Institute of Development Studies for the past nine years, is going on leave of absence in order to take up an appointment as the Deputy Executive Director in charge of policy, planning and programming of UNICEF in New York.

He will take up his new appointment on January 1, 1982. A successor to Professor Jolly is to be appointed for a five year term.

ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY TO PREACH

The Archbishop of Canterbury, Dr. Robert Runcie, will be the preacher at the Opening of Session Service on Thursday, October 8, at 6 p.m. in the Meeting House.

ROBIN LEE POETRY PRIZE

The winner of this year's prize is Christina Jenkins, School of English and American Studies, for her poem, 'Waiting'.

We felt that two poems, 'Waiting' by Christina Jenkins and 'Stone Circle' by Lucy Rushton (Postgraduate, Arts), were distinctly better than any of the others, and it was only after considerable discussion that we decided that the prize should go to 'Waiting'.

Andrew Crozier, Gabriel Jostoparl, Laurence Lerner, Tina Martile.

QUADRIPARTITE TALKS

The Quadripartite Talks, which were arranged during the recent disruptions by the Students' Union, took place on Monday, June 1. Notes of the Talks (which are composed of representatives of the Senate, Council, Trade Unions and the Students' Union) were taken and contain the following:

After full discussion, and bearing in mind that Quadripartite Talks have no decision-taking powers, the University side stated that:

(i) that the Students' Union is currently free, subject to compliance with the present financial and constitutional rules, to employ an officer fulfilling the role of Vice-President (Education). The question of this person's being a full-time Sabbatical Officer was a matter which could be decided only by the Senate and the Council;

(ii) if requested by the Students' Union and in no way would the question of an Educational Sabbatical be placed before the Senate and Council in June;

(iii) the Students' Union could contact the Registrar and Secretary to arrange for Officer level discussions on the ramifications of employing a non-Sabbatical Officer; the Vice-Chancellor anticipated that an appointee operating in this capacity would be granted committee rights appropriate to his/her duties. This course of action could be pursued prior to the meetings of the Senate and the Council in June.

The next day a meeting was held in Sussex House between University officers and the President of the Union to see how the intent of the Quadripartite Talks could be developed in relation to the Planning Committee/Senate/Council sequence of meetings.

The President was informed that if the items of business relating to the Education Sabbatical already received for discussion by those bodies were to be changed to incorporate the Students' Union reaction to the Quadripartite Talks, the amendments would have to be received by June 4.

However, the Students' Union General Meeting which had been adjourned on May 28 did not reach a quorum on June 2 when it was due to be reconvened, neither did an Emergency Union General Meeting on June 4.

As a result, at the time The Bulletin went to press, the University had not received any indication from the Students' Union of its members' response to the proposals put forward by the University at the Quadripartite Talks.

THE BULLETIN

The Bulletin is published fortnightly during term-time by the Information Office for the information of members and employees of the University.

Signed articles reflect the views of the author and not the University.

Contributions to The Bulletin are welcomed. If you have any news items, feature articles, information or anything else you would like to see appear in The Bulletin, please contact Jennifer Payne or Janet Barrington, Room 315, Sussex House (int. tel. GS-140).

The next issue, and the last for this session, will be published on Tuesday, June 23, and copy for inclusion should reach the Information Office by noon on Tuesday, June 16.

Extracts from The Bulletin may not be published without the Information Officer's permission.
KULUKUNDIS GROUP

In only four months the Kulukundis Group has raised more than £5,000, but fund raising is not the only aim of the new group.

Set up to improve the facilities for all disabled people at the University, the group has received many donations from groups and individuals including the campus tenants association, the school joint committee, staff and members of Sussex, and from a benefit disco held at the beginning of term.

The Kulukundis Group is an independent body made up of staff, students and faculty, set up by disabled students on campus and the Students' Union's welfare office.

Regular open meetings at Kulukundis House (the purpose-built disabled unit on campus from which the group takes its name), have been discussing how best to use the funds raised so far, and proposed in conjunction with the University's Handicapped Persons Committee, that the money should be put towards improving access around the residential and teaching areas of the University.

Plans include sign-posting the wheelchair routes around the University buildings as well as adapting the fire doors in the teaching areas to allow easier access for wheelchair-bound students.

Although the fire doors are a tiring and repetitive obstacle for a wheelchair, at the cost of £300 each, the doors can open on an electro-magnetic basis as the chair approaches. The provision of ramps and links at awkward points on access routes is also high on the list of priorities, and to increase the number of disabled students that can be offered a place at the University, adaptations to existing bathroom and kitchen facilities are being considered.

Although these could not provide the same specialized alterations that Kulukundis House contains, the improved facilities would enable more less-severely disabled students to live on campus.

By far the most ambitious aim of the group is to install a lift for Falmer House, at a cost probably in excess of £45,000. Wheelchair-bound students have no access to upper levels of the building at all, unless they find muscled friends to carry the chair up the stairs. Not to be daunted by the large amount needed, the group plan to write to sponsors in the hope of contacting a wealthy business concerned with the difficulties of the disabled at university.

The major venture launched by the group was the setting up of an emergency volunteer helper list for the residents of Kulukundis House, where anyone on campus can volunteer to offer practical help. The list is a back-up team that can be called upon when University paid helpers may not be available, or for the wheelchair-users to enjoy late social events.

The Kulukundis Group is very definitely an 'ongoing project', with many ventures planned, and we hope many more people will get involved, and that it will go beyond 1981, the International Year of the Disabled.

Anyone at all who would be interested in finding out more about us, or who can assist in the group's diverse activities, please come to our next meeting in Kulukundis House on Friday, June 19, at 4 p.m., or contact Ann Eyles in the Union Welfare Office, Falmer House.

TIM BISHOP

ACCOMMODATION

Following the article in The Bulletin (May 28, 1981) concerning accommodation for continuation students, I have received several enquiries about the intentions of Accommodation Committee. Perhaps it would be helpful if I clarified them.

The Committee is all too aware that there is insufficient accommodation on campus to meet demand. Faced with this pressure, the general policy is to give priority to new students – strangers to Brighton who we feel should be helped to settle quickly into University life. This policy applies to all students - undergraduate or postgraduate, married or single.

The single undergraduate is asked to quit campus accommodation after one year to make way for the newcomers. We try to accommodate the postgraduate with a family for the full period of study. If we are to continue to help newly arriving families we must draw the line somewhere with what we do when the student seeks to extend the period of study under 'continuation' status.

Faced with the problem of deciding which of two families to help we feel that in most cases the experienced postgraduate has the greater opportunity to find other accommodation. Consequently we write to each family well in advance of the termination of the tenancy reminding them of the need to make other arrangements.

Of course there is an appeals procedure to take account of special circumstances. But the procedures should be activated as early as possible - and not left until the last few weeks. Any family in uncertainty should contact Brian Reynolds, the Accommodation Manager, as soon as possible. They will be given full information and all possible assistance.

A.J. BAILEY
Chairman, Accommodation Committee

NON-ACADEMIC VACANCIES

A sign of the times! The Establishment Office's list of non-academic jobs within the University which are to be filled, issued on June 3, contains only one vacancy. This is for a general catering assistant in the Refectory. A job description is displayed on noticeboards. Applications to the Catering Manager, Refectory Building (int. tel. 00-114)
More letters on jobs, clearly still the main issue of the moment for all of us. First, two replies (and one conversation) to Neil Warren’s letter in our last issue, questioning the arguments put forward for trying to preserve as much of the status quo as possible. Then a more general letter from the non-teaching side of the University.

Further discussion and comments on issues raised by the recent student disturbances, meanwhile, are held over to the next copy. But don’t hold back from commenting here too, simply because it all appears to be over. As much as more general lessons could not be learned this time, now the pressure seems to be off—which, for the time being.

Contributions for Worm’s Eye View to me please, in room Arts 1080, by June 16.

NICHOLAS TUCKER

University teachers have one great advantage when they campaign, in that they express themselves fluently, and can write large numbers of cogent, reasoned letters, to good effect. Against this they carry the incumbrance that, if they wish, the editors may take the opportunity to be less than fair. As an example, the paras, the letters from the no-policies, from the administrators, from the students, the lawyers, the protesters, are all in evidence.

So, let’s be positive. University teachers are not ashamed of their teaching, their research, their scholarship, yes, their first and higher degrees, their salaries, their homes, comfortable or not. Nor need they be.

The Association of University Teachers has often made the case that in order to sustain the level of research, teaching, and service they perform, the government must increase the amount of money available for universities. The Association has estimated that an additional $100 million is needed each year to maintain the current level of research and teaching. The Association has also argued that the government should provide more support for the arts and humanities, which are underfunded compared to the sciences.

I do not see why the government should be doing this. The University Teachers Association has estimated that an additional $100 million is needed each year to maintain the current level of research and teaching. The government should provide more support for the arts and humanities, which are underfunded compared to the sciences.

I do not see why the government should be doing this. The University Teachers Association has estimated that an additional $100 million is needed each year to maintain the current level of research and teaching. The government should provide more support for the arts and humanities, which are underfunded compared to the sciences.
until the UGC figures are available. If one is obliged to be critical of the University administration, it would appear that the trade unions are also at fault. It is childish and unrealistic to scream "no cuts" and use the same token it is stupid and unconstructive to adopt "militant" postures in opposition to possible redeployment of labour.

The slogging of a few trade union activists is no substitute for meaningful consultation with the entire staff. Given the fact that the University has no decent channels, that no adequate staff forum exists, that it has no personnel policy, no proper mechanism for participation, and no proper system for assessing and deploying staff outside the various private empires, it will be necessary to improvise the means to be used.

But, in principle, what the management should now be doing is to take the staff into its confidence and to inform people about the likely impact of budget reductions. It should put the issue plainly, if only approximately, and say: "Look, we think expenses will probably need to be cut by X per cent. If you can suggest ways in which running costs can be cut you may be able to save a number of jobs." There has been so much waste over the years that one would have thought the staff could collectively spot considerable possible economies. Why, at least, is staff co-operation not being sought in this direction? Why are people not being given the opportunity to offer alternative savings, instead of passively waiting for jobs to be axed?

If staffing must still be cut, let management draw up guidelines of the principle to be followed. Otherwise there will be an unspecified scrambling and jostling between the various departments, and between grades of workers, and in such a situation much will depend upon the relative "political power" of the various "emperors" - the empire-building which has long been a feature of campus must undoubtedly complicate the present crisis.

I don't for a moment think it is likely that intelligent and humane principles will be observed but at least one could mention some obvious possibilities. For a start, people should be looked at as individuals instead of as merely adjuncts of a particular job. This means some sense of discrimination about who is kept on and who has to go, and whether redeployment would be a better solution. Wouldn't it be immoral, for instance, if only the "blue-eyed" survived and the free-thinkers were shoved out? Wouldn't it be a scandal to sack some people while others continue to work over-time? Would it be right to cast off those entirely dependent on their earnings whilst retaining the many who are merely taking home a "second income"? And shouldn't other considerations also be added? What about those who are loyal to the University, per se, and those who are not? We have all met them, have we not, the people who have not the faintest sympathy with or understanding of the role of higher education? One thing more needs to be said. Could we for once think in terms of not ending up with too many Chiefs and very few Indians?

We have had reams from the academics and nothing from the rest of us. Perhaps this reflects the fact that this Bulletin has neither the readership nor the reputation to produce a mass response from non-faculty. No doubt a response will be forthcoming now - if only to tell us why shutting our eyes and chanting "no cuts" will somehow make the problem go away.

In 1979 millions of people voted to cut public expenditure and it is futile to expect that a right-wing government will cut military costs in preference to health, education and social welfare. All of us at the campus - and this means the teachers and the administrators, the clerks and the porters - owe off the backs of the taxpayer, and it is self evident that university income will be cut. So the best thing we can do is to discuss the most sensible, the most intelligent, and the most humane way in which to weather the storm. Come the next election you can reverse the whole damn business!

DENIS HILL
Central Stores

MASS-OBSERVATION

NEEDED: A QUICK EYE AND AN ATTENTIVE EAR
The Trustees of the Mass-Observation Archive have signed a contract with Granada T.V. which enables us to start our Mass-Observation type enquiry into reactions to inflation.

We have observers in all parts of the country but there is a preponderance in favour of the southern counties. We would be grateful for the addresses of people living elsewhere in the United Kingdom whom we could invite to co-operate.

The work is interesting and calls for no skill other than the quick eye and the attentive ear. More details from Dorothy Walford at the Mass-Observation Archive, Arts D.

Professor D.F.Pocock
Director, The Tom Harrison Mass-Observation Archive

RECENT BOOKS
Recent and forthcoming Sussex publications:

HEGEL CONTRA SOCIOLOGY by Dr. Gillian Rose. Athlone Press. Paper. £6.95.
INDUSTRIAL INNOVATION AND PUBLIC POLICY: Preparing for the 1990s by Dr. Roy Rothwell of the Science Policy Research Unit and Walter Zegfeld. Frances Pinter. £13.50.


GOING ABROAD THIS SUMMER? Don't forget to inspect our interesting stock of guides, maps and phrasebooks.

Available from:

SUSSEX UNIVERSITY BOOKSHOP
GARDNER ARTS CENTRE

THE HUMAN FACTOR
AN ARTS COUNCIL EXHIBITION OF SCULPTURE MADE BY TEN ARTISTS DURING THE 1970s.
JUNE 10 - JULY 2

The most striking change in art this century has been the development of abstract art. From primitive times until the 20th century sculpture was mainly concerned with the human figure or with other living forms. Few sculptors now produce forms as life-like as Rodin's "Kiss" or Degas' ballet dancers but much modern sculpture still retains a reference to human beings. This exhibition shows something of the variety of ways in which this human factor now appears.

The human figure itself is only present in three pieces in this exhibition. John Davies will be showing a lifesize and eerily realistic man "Figure with slats" and Tim Head's slide installation creates the illusory presence of a lifesize naked woman. Marc Chaimowicz provides snapshots of his life which encourage us to recall similar memories from our own experience.

Strangely in other exhibits, we become aware of human presence because of its absence. Tony Carter and Ken Draper create objects which carry marks and traces of human history. John Cobb's "Easy Chair" is like an accumulation of memories of chairs. Martin Baylor and Carl Plackman present objects which hint at some mysterious past or future human activity. If John Ashworth and Paul Neagu's constructions suggest functions relating to the measurement and control of our environment.

I often imagine that Samuel Pepys would make the ideal gallery visitor, he was always "with child" to see and experience something new, reserving critical judgement until after curious enjoyment, so if the work of these sculptors is new to you do follow his example and see what you can make of what is often a rather private and individual approach to sculpture. Several school parties armed with activity booklets will be looking at the exhibition too.

Robert Wood, who has been artist-in-

SMALL ADS
TO LET
Of interest to visiting faculty: to let from July 198 for 1 yr., a modernised, well-furnished Regency House in Kemp Town. 3 beds, 2 baths, 2 spacious reception rooms. Careful tenants only, refs. essential. Tel. Lipton, Brighton 682065.

LUNCHTIME EVENTS
Gardner Centre Lunchtime Recitals
1.15 p.m. Gardner Centre Theatre, Admission Free.
Thursday - Mixed recital by second year music students.
June 11
Thursday - Post-graduate student
June 18
Stephen Gardner will perform works of his own composition.
Meeting House Lunchtime Recitals
Fridays at 1.15 p.m. Meeting House Chapel. Admission Free.
June 12 - Jan Stammers (viola) and Stephen King (organ).
June 19 - The University of Sussex Chamber Choir, featuring Stephen King (organ), Hillary Harris (mezzo-soprano), Roger Wallington (baritone) and conducted by John Birch, will perform "Requiem Op. 9" by Durufle, and two works by Benjamin Britten: "Hymn to St. Columba" and "Antiphon".

DIARY OF EVENTS: CORRECTION